GERTRAUD WOLLSCHLAEGER, M.D., Ph.D.

35310 Blue Spruce Drive Farmington Hills, Michigan 48335 248-477-4407



The Honorable Judges of the Supreme Court Administrative Office P.O. Box 300048 Lansing, MI 48909 Attn.: Friend of the Court

June 22, 2003

Honorable Judges of the Supreme Court:

I strongly ask you to consider the introduction of a 50/50 custodial care for children.

Reference to Detroit Free Press
"Court hears child support views"
June 20, 2003
By W. Wendland-Bowyer

Yes, children, First. They should never be fair game to institutions and the Court. Children are our precious future. Since we are faced with roughly 50% divorces, this matter is of high priority.

My reasons:

- 1. 50/50 eliminates the financial management and mismanagement by the Friends of the Court. It is unfair to burden one party only with the custodial payment because both parties have to offer living quarters and so on, regardless of 50 days or 300 days.
 - With 50/50 custodial care both parties contribute equal and no financial exchange is necessary and true bonding is possible.
- 2. 50/50 custodial custody eliminates the ugly bickering and financial exploitation by institutions, which often drives parties apart and into poverty just to arrive at an unhappy settlement. This money is better used for the welfare of the children.
- 3. Amidst are the poor children who take the burden of a tremendous negative mental insult.

- 4. Many fathers would not be "dead beat fathers" if they would be granted more custodial custody. (3 and 8 hours) of physical custody can never create a bonding relationship.
- 5. 50/50 would ease up the possibility for the parties not to live so close, because of the present difficulties to find work in certain areas, which stabilizes the financial situation.
- 6. It would free the Friend of the Court to introduce Educational courses for divorced parties to eliminate the mental cruelties to children in living more amenable side by side.
- 7. In this present "political corrective society", the women often misuse their rights and protection to their advantage.
- 8. Many young fathers, who loose their wife and the mother of their children, due to disease or accident, can raise wonderful children.
- 9. The statement "it is best for the child" to be with the mother is absolutely not valuable and a jinx.
- 10. No institution should have the right to decide in matters of custody in favor of a party unless the party has:

A history of Drug Abuse

A history of Child Molester

A history of Criminality

In summary, I plead you to consider a change to 50/50 custody. Your decision would create a much friendlier world for our children and divided families and build a much desired stable and happy future society.

I think it may decrease many senseless divorces when the parties cannot expect a financial advantage.

I believe such a change would be definitely worth a 5 to 10 year trial in the State of Michigan and Michigan would not even be the first State to exercise 50/50 physical custody.

Respectfully,

Gertraud Wollschlaeger, MD, Ph.D Physician, Teacher and Prof. emeritus of

Gertrand Wolfschildeger, M.J. M.

Wayne State University

The proud mother of 3 successful and caring children.

Ursula (MD – Pediatrician, awarded Pediatrician of the Year in the state of Maryland 5 times.) (She also holds a Master of Bioengineering)

Daria (JD and a Lieutenant Colonel of JAG Corp) (She also holds a LLM in International Law)

Peter (MD - Family Physician) (He also holds a Master of Public Health in Epidemiology)

The proud grandmother of 3

Krystian - a Junior in College of Engineering

Justin - a Freshmen in College

Sven, a 4 yr old, whom I have not seen nor embraced or spoken with by phone in 2 years. My packages are refused by a nasty and mentally (documented) sick mother. The "Court Appointed" Clinical Psychologist did everything possible to cover this up. (She, the mother, married my son as a vehicle to get citizenship and financial advantages.)

WARNING: it is not advisable to withhold this letter from the Honorable Judges, a copy of this letter will be sent to the Attorney General of Michigan and to the husband and first man of Governor Jennifer Granholm.